

Public
89-080
Rijksuniversiteit Utrecht
1988
RESERVE
1



PLANAR GRAPHS WITH BOUNDED TREEWIDTH

Hans L. Bodlaender

RUU-CS-88-14
March 1988



Rijksuniversiteit Utrecht

Vakgroep Informatica

Budapestlaan 6 3584 CD Utrecht
Corr. adres: Postbus 80.089 3508 TB Utrecht
Telefoon 030-83 1454
The Netherlands

PLANAR GRAPHS WITH BOUNDED TREEWIDTH

Hans L. Bodlaender

Technical Report RUU-CS-88-14
March 1988

Department of Computer Science
University of Utrecht
P.O. Box 80.089, 3508 TB Utrecht
The Netherlands

- For every edge $e = (v, w) \in E$, there is a subset $X_i, i \in I$ with $v \in X_i$ and $w \in X_i$.
- For all $i, j, k \in I$, if j lies on the path from i to k , then $X_i \cap X_k \subseteq X_j$.

The treewidth of a tree-decomposition $(\{X_i | i \in I\}, T)$ is $\max_{i \in I} |X_i| - 1$. The treewidth of G , denoted by $\text{treewidth}(G)$, is the minimum treewidth of a tree-decomposition of G , taken over all possible tree-decompositions of G .

There are several alternative ways to characterize the class of graphs with $\text{treewidth} \leq k$. See e.g. [1].

Next we introduce the (new) notions of the vertex and edge remember number of maximal spanning forests of a graph.

Consider a maximal spanning forest $T = (V, F)$ of a graph $G = (V, E)$. (I.e., T contains a spanning tree of every connected component of G .) To every edge $e = (v, w) \in E - F$, we can associate its fundamental cycle, i.e. the unique cycle which consists of e and the path from v to w in T . We define the vertex remember number of G , relative to T (denoted by $vr(G, T)$), to be the maximum over all $v \in V$ of the number of such fundamental cycles that use v . Similarly, the edge remember number of G , relative to T is denoted by $er(G, T)$, and defined as the maximum over all $e \in E$ of the number of such fundamental cycles that use e . We let $\text{degree}(G)$ denote the maximum vertex degree of G .

We also use the notion of “minor”. A graph H is a minor of a graph G by a number of edge-contractions, i.e., by a number of times replacing two adjacent vertices v, w by a new vertex that is adjacent to all vertices that were adjacent to v or w .

3 Preliminary results.

In this section we give some preliminary results, dealing with the notions treewidth, vertex and edge remember number and minor.

Theorem 3.1

For all graphs $G = (V, E)$ and maximal spanning forests $T = (V, F)$ of G : $er(G, T) \leq vr(G, T) \leq \frac{\text{degree}(G)}{2} \cdot er(G, T)$.

Proof.

Suppose edge $(v, w) \in E$ is used by $er(G, T)$ fundamental cycles. Then also $er(G, T)$ fundamental cycles use vertex v , hence $vr(G, T) \geq er(G, T)$.

Next suppose vertex $v \in V$ is used by $vr(G, T)$ fundamental cycles. Suppose v is adjacent to edges $e_1, \dots, e_k \in E$, and suppose edge e_i is used by α_i fundamental cycles ($1 \leq i \leq k$). Each fundamental cycle that uses v must use exactly 2 edges, adjacent to v , hence $\sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i = vr(G, T)$, so $er(G, T) \geq \max_{1 \leq i \leq k} \alpha_i \geq \frac{2}{k} vr(G, T) \geq \frac{2}{\text{degree}(G)} vr(G, T)$. \square

The following relation will prove helpful to give bounds on the treewidth of classes of graphs.

Theorem 3.2

Let $T = (V, F)$ be a maximal spanning forest of graph $G = (V, E)$. Then $\text{treewidth}(G) \leq \max(vr(G, T), er(G, T) + 1)$.

Proof.

Let T' be the tree $(V \cup F, F')$, with $F' = \{(v, e) | v \in V, e \in F, \exists w \in V : e = (v, w)\}$, i.e. T' is obtained by adding an extra vertex in the middle of each edge in T . We show how to construct sets X_i , $i \in V \cup F$, such that $(\{X_i | i \in V \cup F\}, T' = (V \cup F, F'))$ is a tree-decomposition of G .

First, for all $v \in V$, take $v \in X_v$ and for all $(v, w) \in F$, take $v, w \in X_{(v,w)}$.

Secondly, for each edge $(v, w) \in E - F$, choose arbitrarily one of v or w , say v . Now add v to each X_x , for all vertices $x \in V, x \neq w$ that are on the fundamental cycle of (v, w) . Only do not add v to X_w . Also, add v to X_e , for all edges $e \in F$ on the fundamental cycle of (v, w) .

We now claim that we have a correct tree-decomposition of V . Clearly $\bigcup X_i = V$. For $(v, w) \in F, (v, w) \in X_{(v,w)}$. For $(v, w) \in E - F$, suppose v is chosen to be added to X_x, X_e for x, e on the fundamental cycle. There are two edges in this fundamental cycle adjacent to w ; one of them is (v, w) , the other (w, x) for some $x \in V$. Now $v \in X_{(w,x)}$ and $w \in X_{(w,x)}$.

Finally, note that for all $v \in V$, if $v \in X_v$, and $v \in X_i$, then $v \in X_j$ for all j on the path from i to v in T' , by construction. It follows that for all $i, j, k \in I$, if j is on the path in T' from i to k , then $X_i \cap X_k \subseteq X_j$. So $(\{X_i | i \in V \cup F\}, T')$ is a correct tree-decomposition. For $v \in V : |X_v| \leq 1 + vr(G, T)$; and for $e \in F : |X_e| \leq 2 + er(G, T)$. So the treewidth of this tree-decomposition is at most $\max(vr(G, T), er(G, T) + 1)$. \square

We also use the following well-known result.

Lemma 3.3

Let H be a minor of G . Then $\text{treewidth}(H) \leq \text{treewidth}(G)$.

Proof.

If G' is a subgraph of G , then clearly $\text{treewidth}(G') \leq \text{treewidth}(G)$. If a graph G' is obtained from G by contracting the edge (v, w) to a vertex v' , then one shows that $\text{treewidth}(G') \leq \text{treewidth}(G)$ as follows. Let $(\{X_i | i \in I\}, T = (I, F))$ be a tree-decomposition of G with treewidth k . Let $X'_i = X_i$, if $v, w \notin X_i$, and $X'_i = (X_i - \{v, w\}) \cup \{v'\}$, if $v \in X_i$ or $w \in X_i$. Clearly $(\{X'_i | i \in I\}, T = (I, F))$ is a tree-decomposition of G' with treewidth $\leq k$. Now the lemma follows. \square

In [12,13], Robertson and Seymour show that for every planar graph H , there is a constant w_H , such that every graph G , that does not contain H as a minor, has $\text{treewidth} \leq w_H$. However, the constants w_H are very large. For a discussion of these results, see [10].

4 Main results.

In this section we give upperbounds for the treewidth for some classes of planar graphs. First we consider the class of outerplanar graphs. A graph is outerplanar, if it is planar and it can be drawn in the plane, such that all vertices lie on the exterior face. It can be shown that every outerplanar graph is a series-parallel graph and hence has $\text{treewidth} \leq 2$. The latter can also be shown in the following way.

For every outerplanar graph $G = (V, E)$, there must be a vertex v with $\text{degree}(v) = 1 \vee \text{degree}(v) = 2$. Suppose $\text{degree}(v) = 2$. Let $(v, w) \in E, (v, x) \in E, w \neq x$. Now

$G' = (V - \{v\}, (E - \{(v, w), (v, x)\}) \cup \{(w, x)\})$ is an outerplanar graph, and we may assume, with induction, that we have a tree-decomposition $(\{X_i | i \in I\}, T = (I, F))$ of G with treewidth ≤ 2 . There must be an $i \in I$, with $w \in X_i \wedge x \in X_i$. Now let $i^* \notin I, I^* = I \cup \{i^*\}, X_{i^*} = \{v, w, x\}$ and $T^* = (I^*, F \cup \{(i, i^*)\})$. One easily verifies that $(\{X_i | i \in I^*\}, T^*)$ is a tree-decomposition of G with treewidth at most 2.

A generalization of the outerplanar graphs are the k -outerplanar graphs. The notion of k -outerplanar graphs was introduced by Baker [4].

Definition.

- A graph $G = (V, E)$ is 1-outerplanar if and only if it is outerplanar.
- For $k \geq 2$, a graph $G = (V, E)$ is k -outerplanar if and only if it is planar and it has a planar embedding such that if all vertices on the exterior face (and all adjacent edges) are deleted, then the connected components of the remaining graph are all $(k - 1)$ -outerplanar.

We will show a bound of $3k - 1$ on the treewidth of k -outerplanar graph. We need a series of lemma's.

Lemma 4.1

Let $G = (V, E)$ be a planar graph with some given planar embedding. Let $H = (V, E')$ be the graph, that is obtained from G by removing all edges on the exterior face. Let $T' = (V, F')$ be a maximal spanning forest of H . Then there exists a maximal spanning forest $T = (V, F)$ of G , such that $er(G, T) \leq er(H, T') + 2$, and $vr(G, T) \leq vr(H, T') + \text{degree}(G)$.

Proof.

Consider the graph $K = (V, (E - F) \cup F')$, i.e. the graph with edges in T' , or in G but not in H . Let $T = (V, F)$ be a maximal spanning forest of K , such that $T' \subseteq T$, i.e. T is obtained by adding edges from $E - F$ to T' . Note that every fundamental cycle in K , relative to T , must form the boundary of an interior face in K . As each edge is adjacent to at most 2 interior faces, and each vertex is adjacent to at most $\text{degree}(G)$ interior faces, it follows that $er(K, T) \leq 2$, and $vr(K, T) \leq \text{degree}(G)$.

As T is also a maximal spanning forest of G , and each fundamental cycle in G either is a fundamental cycle in H , or a fundamental cycle in K , $er(G, T) \leq er(K, T) + er(H, T') \leq er(H, T') + 2$, and $vr(G, T) \leq vr(K, T) + vr(H, T') \leq vr(H, T') + \text{degree}(G)$. \square

Lemma 4.2

Let $G = (V, E)$ be an outerplanar graph with $\text{degree}(G) \leq 3$. Then there exists a maximal spanning forest $T = (V, F)$, with $er(G, T) \leq 2$ and $vr(G, T) \leq 2$.

Proof.

If one removes all edges on the exterior face of G , a tree or forest $T' = (V, F')$ results. Clearly $er(T', T') = vr(T', T') = 0$. The result follows now as in lemma 4.1 by observing that also each vertex is adjacent to at most 2 interior faces. \square

Lemma 4.3

Let $G = (V, E)$ be a k -outerplanar graph with $\text{degree}(G) \leq 3$. Then there exists a maximal spanning forest $T = (V, F)$ with $er(G, T) \leq 2k$, and $vr(G, T) \leq 3k - 1$.

Proof.

Use induction to k . The case $k = 1$ was shown in lemma 4.2. Let $k \geq 2$. If we remove all edges on the exterior face of G , then each vertex on the exterior face has degree 0 or 1, so a $(k - 1)$ -outerplanar graph is obtained. The result now follows with induction and lemma 4.1. \square

Lemma 4.4

For every k -outerplanar graph $G = (V, E)$, there exists a k -outerplanar graph $H = (V', E')$, such that G is a minor of H , and $\text{degree}(H) \leq 3$.

Proof.

We can replace every vertex with degree $d \geq 4$ by a path of $d - 2$ vertices of degree 3, in such a way that the graph stays k -outerplanar. \square

Now we are ready to prove the main results.

Theorem 4.5

For every k -outerplanar graph $G = (V, E)$, $\text{treewidth}(G) \leq 3k - 1$.

Proof.

For $k = 1$, the result is well-known. Suppose $k \geq 2$. By lemma 4.4, there exists a k -outerplanar graph H , such that G is a minor of H , and $\text{degree}(H) \leq 3$. By lemma 4.3, there exists a maximal spanning forest T of H , such that $er(H, T) \leq 2k$ and $vr(H, T) \leq 3k - 1$. By lemma 3.2, $\text{treewidth}(H) \leq \max\{3k - 1, 2k + 1\} = 3k - 1$. By lemma 3.3 $\text{treewidth}(G) \leq 3k - 1$. \square

Robertson and Seymour [12] define the radius of a planar graph as follows.

For every face R in a planar embedding M of G , define $d(R)$ to be the minimum value of k , such that there is a sequence of faces R_0, \dots, R_k , with R_0 the exterior face, and $R_k = R$, and for $1 \leq j \leq k$, there is a vertex v that is both on face R_{j-1} and R_j . The radius $\rho(M)$ of M is the minimum value of d such that $d(R) \leq d$ for all regions R of M . The radius of a planar graph is the minimum of the radii of its drawings.

In [12], it is proved that a planar graph with radius $\leq d$ has $\text{treewidth} \leq 3d + 1$. As a k -outerplanar graph has radius k or $k + 1$ [5], from this result it follows that a k -outerplanar graph has $\text{treewidth} \leq 3k + 4$. So we improved on this bound with a constant 5. The proof on treewidth of k -outerplanar graphs can easily be modified to give the following result.

Theorem 4.6

Let $G = (V, E)$ be a planar graph with radius $\leq d, d \geq 1$. Then $\text{treewidth}(G) \leq 3d$.

Proof.

First observe that one can prove an analogue of lemma 4.4 for graphs with radius $\leq d$. Hence, it is sufficient to prove the results for graphs with degree ≤ 3 . With induction to d one can show: $\text{radius}(G) \leq d$ and $\text{degree}(G) \leq 3 \Rightarrow$ there exists a maximal spanning forest $T = (V, F)$ of G with $er(G, T) \leq 2k$ and $vr(G, T) \leq 3k$. If $d = 0$, then G is a tree, and the result follows directly. For $d > 1$, note that if every edge on the exterior face is removed, then a graph with radius $\leq d - 1$ results. The proof continues as in the case of

k -outerplanar graphs. □

The last class of graphs that is considered is the class of the Halin graphs.

Definition.

A graph $G = (V, E)$ is a Halin graph, if it can be obtained by embedding a tree without vertices with degree 2 in the plane, and connecting its leaves by a cycle that crosses none of its edges, and $|V| \geq 4$.

Theorem 4.7

Let $G = (V, E)$ be a Halin graph.
The treewidth $(G) = 3$.

Proof.

By noting that $\text{radius}(G) = 1$, it follows directly from theorem 4.6 that $\text{treewidth}(G) \leq 3$. (An alternative proof can be given by observing that G is a minor of a Halin graph H , with $\text{degree}(H) = 3$, and then applying lemma 4.1.)

As G contains K_4 , a clique with 4 vertices, as a minor (contract all interior vertices to one vertex, a “wheel” results, and then contract further to K_4), $\text{treewidth}(G) \geq \text{treewidth}(K_4) = 3$. □

The restriction $|V| \geq 4$ is usually not given with the definition of Halin graphs. Note that we only exclude the degenerate case of a graph with 2 vertices and 3 parallel edges.

5 Final remarks.

It should be noted that all proofs are constructive, and can be transformed to polynomial algorithms of small degree, not depending on the radius of the graphs involved or the outerplanarity-number k , that actually find the tree-decompositions with the indicated treewidth. In [5] it is shown that the radius and the outerplanarity-number k can be determined in polynomial time for arbitrary planar graphs.

References

- [1] S. Arnborg. Efficient algorithms for combinatorial problems on graphs with bounded decomposability – A survey. *BIT*, 25:2–23, 1985.
- [2] S. Arnborg, J. Lagergren, and D. Seese. Which problems are easy for tree-decomposable graphs. 1987. Ext. abstract to appear in proc. ICALP 88.
- [3] S. Arnborg and A. Proskurowski. *Linear time algorithms for NP-hard problems on graphs embedded in k-trees*. TRITA-NA-8404, Dept. of Num. Anal. and Comp. Sci., Royal Institute of Technology, Stockholm, Sweden, 1984.
- [4] B. S. Baker. Approximation algorithms for NP-complete problems on planar graphs. In *Proceedings 24th Ann. Symp. on Foundations of Computer Science*, pages 265–273, IEEE Computer Society, Los Angeles, 1983. Preliminary version.
- [5] D. Bienstock and C. L. Monma. On the complexity of embedding planar graphs to minimize certain distance measures. 1987. Draft paper.
- [6] H. L. Bodlaender. *Classes of Graphs with Bounded Treewidth*. Technical Report RUU-CS-86-22, Dept. Of Comp. Science, University of Utrecht, Utrecht, 1986.
- [7] H. L. Bodlaender. *Dynamic programming algorithms on graphs with bounded treewidth*. Tech. Rep., Lab. for Comp. Science, M.I.T., 1987. Ext. abstract to appear in proc. ICALP 88.
- [8] H. L. Bodlaender. *NC-algorithms for graphs with small treewidth*. Technical Report RUU-CS-88-4, Dept. of Comp. Science, Univ. of Utrecht, Utrecht, 1988.
- [9] B. Courcelle. *Recognizability and Second-Order Definability for Sets of Finite Graphs*. Preprint, Universite de Bordeaux, Jan. 1987.
- [10] D. S. Johnson. The NP-completeness column: an ongoing guide. *J. of Algorithms*, 8:285–303, 1987.
- [11] N. Robertson and P. Seymour. Graph minors. II. Algorithmic aspects of tree-width. *J. of Algorithms*, 7:309–322, 1986.
- [12] N. Robertson and P. Seymour. Graph minors. III. Planar tree-width. *J. Combin. Theory Series B*, 36:49–64, 1984.
- [13] N. Robertson and P. Seymour. Graph minors. V. Excluding a planar graph. *J. Combin. Theory Series B*, 41:92–114, 1986.
- [14] P. Scheffler. *Linear-time algorithms for NP-complete problems restricted to partial k-trees*. Report R-MATH-03/87, Karl-Weierstrass-Institut Für Mathematik, Berlin, GDR, 1987.