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Abstract. This paper presents a comprehensive overview of all the important areas within Software Product Management (SPM). The overview has been created and validated in collaboration with many experts from practice and the scientific community. It provides a list of 68 capabilities a product software organization should implement to reach a full grown SPM maturity. The overview consists of the SPM Competence Model that shows the areas of importance to SPM, and the SPM Maturity Matrix that lists all important activities within those areas in a best practice implementation order. SPM organizations can use this matrix to map and improve their SPM practices incrementally.
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1 Introduction

As confirmed by recent research, Software Product Management (SPM) is a key area within many software companies [1, 2]. A product manager can also be referred to as the “mini-CEO” of an organization [3]. They are positioned at the center of the organization where they keep in contact with all stakeholders to ensure that they all work towards the same goal according to the strategies set out. As such, a large array of skills is expected; ranging from gathering requirements, to constructing roadmaps.

Although the product manager’s function is essential in the product software industry, little education exists in this area [4]. To make things worse, no extensive body of knowledge exists, such as PMBOK [5] and SWEBOK [6]. This leads to a situation in which product managers learn their skills ‘on-the-job’, often starting out of a position as development, sales, or project manager. Problems arise when companies want to professionalize their product management practices, either to support the company’s growth, or to make a shift from selling customized software to selling standard product software [7]. Due to the lack of knowledge, lifting the quality of the product by improving the SPM processes is often difficult.

To aid product managers in improving their SPM practices, we proposed the Reference Framework for SPM [4] and the Situational Assessment Method (SAM) [8]. A key component of the SAM is the SPM Maturity Matrix, which is used to determine an organization’s SPM maturity level and identify the areas that need improvement to reach a higher maturity level. All kinds of organizations, including small and medium sized organizations, should be able to use the maturity matrix as a guide for incremental process improvement in SPM. We focused on an incremental, or evolutionary, SPI approach for several reasons: a) it is a fundamental way to reduce risk in complex improvement
projects [9], and b) we observe that in many organizations this is the natural way for method evolution [10, 11].
Since the proposal of SAM, the maturity matrix has been evaluated in several case studies. Feedback from these case studies led to a number of significant improvements. This paper presents a detailed overview of the SPM Competence Model and the maturity matrix for SPM and the research method we followed to achieve these improvements.

2 Research Design

This study follows the design science methodology, in which research is done through the processes of building and evaluating artifacts [12]. The artifacts in this research are the SPM Competence Model and the SPM Maturity Matrix. The research is performed as action research, since the lead author is both working as a researcher at Utrecht University as well as a consultant at the Dutch product software company Centric.

During our research we follow the five process steps of the design cycle [13]. This design cycle consists of steps that follow an iterative process. Knowledge produced in the process by constructing and evaluating the artifact is used as input for a better awareness of the problem. The five steps are:

1) **Awareness of the problem** – In section 1, we described the problem and its context.
2) **Suggestion** – The suggestion for a solution to the problem identified in step 1 is developed. In section 2, we describe our approach in tackling the problem and the research methods that we use.
3) **Development** – the artifacts that are developed are the SPM Competence Model and SPM Maturity Matrix, which are presented in section 3 and 4.
4) **Evaluation** – This step comprises the evaluation of the method. We used expert validations, a survey, case studies, and questionnaires to validate the method. The results of these extensive validations lead to a higher level of problem awareness and suggestions for solutions. Three case studies are presented in chapter 9 of [14].
5) **Conclusion** – Finally, in section 5, conclusions and areas for further research are covered.

During this research, we made use of several data collection sources. Firstly, we performed a literature study. The literature study was based on a multitude of papers describing specific processes within the field of SPM (e.g. [15] & [16]). Secondly, a brainstorm session was conducted with experts from the scientific community to create a first version of the maturity matrix. The session consisted of two parts: 1) determination of the capabilities; 2) determination of the positioning of the capabilities relative to each other. The literature study was used as input for the brainstorm session. Furthermore, an expert validation was held where business professionals validated the results of the brainstorm session. Furthermore, an expert validation was held where business professionals validated the results of the brainstorm session: the maturity matrix and the SPM Competence Model. Finally, we performed a survey, to fine-tune the positioning of the capabilities in the maturity matrix [17].

The SPM Maturity Matrix was applied by the authors in twelve case studies at product software organizations from the Netherlands to test the applicability in day-to-day business environments. The case studies consisted of a series of interviews performed at the organizations and an evaluation on how the organizations looked at the results. Furthermore, during a professional SPM course, under guidance of the authors, thirteen product managers from different organizations filled in a questionnaire where they applied the maturity matrix to their own organization.

Finally, we followed the following iterative process to fine-tune both the SPM Competence Model and Maturity Matrix: (1) make adjustments based on feedback, (2) validate the model with experts from practice, and (3) validate the model with experts from the scientific community. These steps were repeated over a period of 4 months until a consensus was reached among all experts (resulting in a total of twelve iterations). The results of this process are presented in this paper. During this validation process six experts from practice were consulted (each representing another SPM organization), and four experts from the scientific community were consulted.
3 THE SOFTWARE PRODUCT MANAGEMENT COMPETENCE MODEL

3.1 Introduction

The SPM Competence Model (Figure 1) presents an overview of all of the areas which are important to the field of the SPM. These areas are called focus areas. The relevant external and internal stakeholders are presented on left and right side of the model. The model does not include the development departments’ activities of the product software organization. Development is simply one of the stakeholders that provide input to the SPM processes. Four main business functions are defined in the model, namely: Requirements management, Release planning, Product planning, and Portfolio management. These business functions are based on the structure where a portfolio consists of products, a product consists of releases, and releases consist of requirements. The portfolio is represented in the Portfolio management function. The products are represented in the Product planning function. The releases are represented in the Release planning function. And finally, the requirements are represented in the Requirements management function. Each business function consists of a number of focus areas (the white areas in figure 1), each of which represents a strongly coherent group of capabilities within a business function. These focus areas are explained further in the next section.

The model contains arrows between the stakeholders and the different business functions. This indicates the interaction that exists between the stakeholders and the different functions. Adjacent business functions also have strong interactions between them, which is indicated by the arrows in between the business functions. Finally, the arrows between focus areas indicate the main flow of the process and therewith information between the different focus areas. Note that this leaves room for interaction between focus areas that are not directly connected via arrows.

Fig. 1. The Software Product Management Competence Model

3.2 Focus areas

The business function Requirements management comprises the continuous management of requirements outside of releases and consists of three focus areas. Requirements gathering concerns the acquisition of requirements from both internal and external stakeholders. Requirements identification identifies the actual Product Requirements by rewriting the Market Requirements to understandable Product Requirements, and connecting requirements that describe similar
functionality. Requirements organizing structures the requirements throughout their entire lifecycle based on shared aspects, and describes the dependencies between Product Requirements. Release planning covers the SPM capabilities needed to successfully create and launch a release. Requirements prioritization prioritizes the identified and organized requirements. Release definition selects the requirements that will be implemented in the next release, based on the prioritization they received in the preceding process. It also creates a release definition based on the selection. Release definition validation is performed before the release is built by the development department. It focuses on the validation of the release definition by internal parties. Scope change management handles the different kinds of scope changes that can occur during the development of a release. Build validation is performed after the release has been realized by the development department. It focuses on validating the built release before it is launched. Launch preparation prepares the internal and external stakeholders for the launch of the new release. Issues ranging from communication, to documentation, training, and the preparations for the implementation of the release itself are addressed. Product planning is focused on the gathering of information for, and creation of a roadmap for a product or product line and its core assets. It consists of three focus areas: Roadmap intelligence gathers decision supporting information needed in the creation of the product roadmap. Product roadmapping deals with the actual creation of the product roadmap itself. Core asset roadmapping concerns the planning of the development of core assets (components that are shared by multiple products). Portfolio management concerns the strategic information gathering and decision making across the entire product portfolio. Its first focus area is Market analysis, which gathers decision support information about the market needed to make decisions about the product portfolio of an organization. Secondly, Product lifecycle management concerns the information gathering and key decision making about product life and major product changes across the entire product portfolio. Finally, Partnering & contracting focuses on establishing partnerships, pricing, and distribution aspects in which the product manager is involved.

4 The Software Product Management Maturity Matrix

4.1 Introduction

The maturity matrix is a key component of the Situational Assessment Method (SAM) for Software Product Management [8]. It is structured based on the SPM Competence Model introduced in section 3 and presents all of the important practices – called capabilities – in a best practice order for implementation, so that organizations have a guideline for the improvement of those SPM practices. Organizations can thus identify areas of improvement by comparing their organization’s processes to the capabilities in the SPM Maturity Matrix. Based on the best practice order provided by the maturity matrix, companies can plan the improvement of their processes.

The maturity matrix depicted in Table 1 is a Focus Area Maturity Model [18, 19]. We chose to develop this type of maturity model because of the shortcomings of other existing models described in [20] and to enable local analysis and incremental improvement. Focus area maturity models are successfully used in the testing domain [21] and the architecture domain [19].

A focus area maturity model consists of a number of focus areas, each with its own number of specific maturity levels. The focus areas are represented in the leftmost column in Table 1. The focus area specific maturity levels are represented by the letters A-F in Table 1 and range from maturity level 1 to 10 (the topmost row in Table 1). Their spread across the overall maturity levels indicates a best practice order, in which capabilities in the maturity matrix are implemented left to right. The development steps of a Focus Area Maturity Matrix in general, and the maturity matrix specifically are discussed in length in [14].
4.2 Capabilities

This section describes all capabilities of the SPM Maturity Matrix by showing their title and the action required of the SPM organization. The capabilities have more aspects besides the title and action. These additional aspects provide supporting information for each capability, consisting of the following: The goal to be achieved by possessing the capability; references to related literature supporting the SPM organization in the implementation and understanding of the capability; the capability’s prerequisites, these are the capabilities that need to be achieved before the capability in question can be achieved; and optionally note(s) which clarify the capability further. The rest of this section is an overview of all 68 capabilities in the maturity matrix.

**REQUIREMENTS MANAGEMENT**

Requirements management concerns the management of the requirements themselves outside of the releases.

**Requirements gathering**

Requirements gathering concerns the acquisition of requirements from both internal and external stakeholders

**A Basic registration**

| Goal: | Create a basis for product development. |
| Action: | Requirements are being gathered and registered. |
| Prerequisite(s): | - |
| Note(s): | - |

**B Centralized registration**
Goal: Structuring of requirements registration.

Action: All incoming requirements are stored in a central database, which is accessible to all relevant stakeholders.

Prerequisite(s): Requirements gathering A

Note(s): -

C Automation

Goal: Reduced workload / improved speed of requirements gathering process, reduced error percentage

Action: All incoming requirements are automatically stored in a central database (e.g. by means of an online helpdesk).

Prerequisite(s): Requirements gathering A

Note(s): -

D Internal stakeholder involvement

Goal: Improved product quality & increased involvement of internal stakeholders in the product management process.

Action: Requirements are gathered from all relevant internal stakeholders: support, services, development, sales & marketing, research & development (parties not present in your organization can be ignored).

Prerequisite(s): -

Note(s): -

E Customer involvement

Goal: Incorporation of customer needs and wishes in the product.

Action: Customer and prospect requirements are being gathered and registered, and the customer or prospect is informed of the developments concerning their requirements.

Prerequisite(s): -

Reference(s): Dieste, Juristo, & Shull (2008) [22]

Note(s): -

F Partner involvement

Goal: Improved product quality & increased involvement of external stakeholders in the product management process.

Action: Requirements are systematically gathered from partner companies.

Prerequisite(s): -

Note(s): -

Requirements identification

Requirements identification identifies the actual Product Requirements by rewriting the Market Requirements to understandable Product Requirements, and connecting requirements that describe similar functionality.

A Uniformity

Goal: Identification of the essence of the requirements, this provides clarity to all involved, enables a meaningful comparison of requirements.

Action: Market requirements are rewritten to product requirements using a pre-defined template if the market requirement is applicable to a product.

Prerequisite(s): Requirements gathering A

Note(s): -

B Requirements validation
Goal: Validation of the requirements to prevent rework.
Action: The correctness (“Is the definition correct?”), completeness (“Does the requirement describe all relevant aspects?”), and unambiguosity (“Can the requirement only be interpreted in one way?”) of the requirement is validated.
Prerequisite(s): Requirements gathering A
Note(s): -

C Connect similar requirements
Goal: Identify the true need for requirements (e.g., two requirements that individually are not valued high enough could be valued high enough when merged), prevention of double requirements.
Action: Group together market requirements which describe similar functionality by linking market requirements and product requirements to each other.
Prerequisite(s): Requirements gathering A, Requirements gathering B
Note(s): -

D Automatically connect similar requirements
Goal: Reduce the workload of the connecting of similar requirements.
Action: Automatically connect similar requirements by using advanced techniques such as linguistic engineering.
Prerequisite(s): Requirements gathering A, Requirements gathering B
Note(s): -

Requirements organizing

Requirements organizing organizes the requirements throughout their entire lifecycle based on shared aspects, and describes the dependencies between Product Requirements.

A Requirement organization
Goal: Increase potential of requirements by identifying value outside of the original boundaries, and provide insight into the planning concerning the requirement.
Action: Product requirements are organized based on shared aspects (e.g., type, function, or core asset).
Prerequisite(s): Requirements gathering A
Note(s): -

B Requirement lifecycle management
Goal: Make requirements reusable for other projects, adds traceability for a requirements (easy to gather extra information, discover mistakes)
Action: A requirements history is logged by recording who submitted it, when it was submitted, what changes were made to it, what the original description of the requirement was, what the current status of the requirement is (e.g., new, rewritten, validated, organized, scheduled for release X, tested, released in release X, etc.). A requirement remains in the database after it has been build so that it can be reused in a new or related product.
Prerequisite(s): Requirements gathering A
Reference(s): Arendsen, Cannegieter, Grund, Heck, Klerk, & Zandhuis (2008) [23]
Note(s): -

C Requirement dependency linking
Goal: The existence of requirements interdependencies means that requirements interact with and affect each other. Requirement dependency linking prevents problems that result from these interdependencies, and therewith enables better planning of the development process.
Action: Dependencies between market and product requirements are determined and registered. A dependency exists when a requirement requires the a specific action of another requirement. E.g. a requirement requires that another requirement be implemented too, or that another requirement is not implemented in case of
conflicting requirements. The linkage can be supported by using advanced techniques, such as linguistic engineering.

**Prerequisite(s):** Requirements gathering A

**Reference(s):** Dahlstedt & Persson (2003) [24]

**Note(s):** -

**RELEASE PLANNING**

Release planning consists of the SPM capabilities needed to successfully create and launch a release.

**Requirements prioritization**

The identified and organized requirements are prioritized.

**A Internal stakeholder involvement**

- **Goal:** Improved product quality & increased involvement of internal stakeholders in the product management process.
- **Action:** All relevant internal stakeholders (e.g. the product manager, support, services, development, sales & marketing, research & development) indicate the requirements that should be incorporated in future releases by assigning priorities to the requirements from their point of view.
- **Prerequisite(s):** Requirements gathering A
- **Note(s):** -

**B Prioritization methodology**

- **Goal:** Structure the requirement prioritization process and therewith provide a solid prioritization which is balanced, and clear to all parties involved.
- **Action:** A structured prioritization technique is used (e.g. MOSCOW, Wiegers).
- **Prerequisite(s):** Requirements gathering A
- **Note(s):** -

**C Customer involvement**

- **Goal:** Incorporation of customer needs and wishes in the product.
- **Action:** Customers and prospects (or representatives thereof) indicate the requirements that should be incorporated in future releases by assigning priorities to the requirements from their point of view. Customers can also be represented in a delegation, select group of customers, or in other more manageable forms.
- **Prerequisite(s):** Requirements gathering A, Requirements gathering B
- **Note(s):** -

**D Cost revenue consideration**

- **Goal:** Create a financial basis for the prioritization.
- **Action:** Information about the costs and revenues of each (group of) requirement(s) is taken into account during the requirements prioritization (costs can be expressed in other means than money).
- **Prerequisite(s):** Requirements gathering A
- **Note(s):** -

**E Partner involvement**

- **Goal:** Improved product quality & increased involvement of external stakeholders in the product management process.
- **Action:** Partner companies indicate the requirements that should be incorporated in future releases by assigning priorities to the requirements from their point of view.
- **Prerequisite(s):** Requirements gathering A
- **Note(s):** -
Release definition

During the ‘Release definition’ process, the requirements that will be implemented in the next release are selected, based on the prioritization they received in the preceding process. And the release definition is created based on the selection.

A Basic requirements selection
Goal: Create a realistic release selection.
Action: During requirements selection for the next release, constraints concerning engineering capacity are taken into account.
Prerequisite(s): -
Note(s): -

B Standardization
Goal: Create clarity, enable comparison of releases.
Action: A standard template is used to write the release definition. The release definition contains aspects such as an overview of the requirements that will be implemented, a time path, and the needed capacity.
Prerequisite(s): -
Note(s): -

C Internal communication
Goal: Inform the internal stakeholders of the upcoming development.
Action: The release definition is communicated to the internal stakeholders.
Prerequisite(s): Release definition A
Note(s): -

D Advanced requirements selection
Goal: Optimize the release selection
Action: The optimal release is automatically calculated based upon the constraints of the requirements. At minimum the engineering capacity, priorities, cost, requirement dependencies are all taken into account.
Prerequisite(s): Release definition A, Requirements organizing C
Note(s): -

E Multiple releases
Goal: Create a more detailed mid-term vision the product.
Action: Multiple releases are included in the requirements selection process.
Prerequisite(s): -
Note(s): -

Release definition validation

The ‘Release definition validation’ is performed before the release is built by the development department. It focuses on the validation of the release definition by internal parties.

A Internal validation
Goal: Increase quality of releases, generate awareness among internal stakeholders.
Action: The release definition is checked by internal stakeholders, before the software is realized.
Prerequisite(s): Release definition A
Note(s): -

B Formal approval
Goal: Increase release quality, improve internal acceptance.
Action: Approval standards are determined and verified by the board before the software is realized (turned over to development).
**Prerequisite(s):** Release definition A  
**Note(s):** -  

C **Business case**  
**Goal:** Verify real world viability of release.  
**Action:** A business case (including the ROI) is being written before the software is realized.  
**Prerequisite(s):** Release definition A, Requirements prioritization D  
**Note(s):** -  

**Scope change management**  

Scope change management handles the different kinds of scope changes that can occur during the development of a release.  

A **Event notification**  
**Goal:** Create awareness of the problem, learn from the problem for future projects.  
**Action:** A formal scope change management process is in place, in which all involved stakeholders are informed.  
**Prerequisite(s):** -  
**Note(s):** -  

B **Milestone monitoring**  
**Goal:** Create more insight into the development process by introducing milestones.  
**Action:** Key dates and checkpoints are monitored in the product delivery.  
**Prerequisite(s):** -  
**Note(s):** -  

C **Impact analysis**  
**Goal:** Determine the impact of the problems to be able to inform all stakeholders.  
**Action:** An impact analysis is performed to determine the effects of the scope change.  
**Prerequisite(s):** -  
**Note(s):** -  

D **Scope change handling**  
**Goal:** Minimize effects of scope change.  
**Action:** A process is in place to develop alternative plans, with all relevant stakeholders, to react to the effects of the scope change.  
**Prerequisite(s):** Scope change management C  
**Note(s):** -  

**Release build validation**  

The Release build validation is performed after the release has been built by the development department. It focuses on the validation of the built release before the release candidate is launched.  

A **Internal validation**  
**Goal:** Improve product quality.  
**Action:** Internal stakeholders (consultants, etc.) perform a functional validation of the build release to verify that it meets the expected outcome.  
**Prerequisite(s):** -  
**Note(s):** -  

B **External validation**  
**Goal:** Improve product quality.  
**Action:** The build is validated by external parties (customers, partners) to verify the builds quality (e.g. by settings up a pilot).
**C Certification**

*Goal:* Improve product quality, get independent confirmation of product quality to prove the quality of your product.

*Action:* Certification by an independent external party is acquired for the release.

*Prerequisite(s):* -

*Note(s):* -

**Launch preparation**

*Launch preparation prepares the internal and external stakeholders for the launch of the new release.*

*It addresses issues ranging from communication, to documentation, training, and the preparations for the implementation of the release itself.*

**A Internal communication**

*Goal:* Inform all internal parties involved of the upcoming release.

*Action:* Information about the upcoming new release is communicated to the internal stakeholders. This information contains a description of the most important changed and added features, the estimated release date, possible costs involved, information about how the new release can be obtained, possible training dates, etc.

*Prerequisite(s):* -

*Note(s):* -

**B Formal approval**

*Goal:* Higher quality of releases.

*Action:* A formal ‘go’, based upon standard quality rules, must be obtained from the board before the launch can begin.

*Prerequisite(s):* -

*Note(s):* -

**C External communication**

*Goal:* Inform all external parties involved of the upcoming release.

*Action:* Information about the upcoming new release is communicated to the external stakeholders. This information contains a description of the most important changed and added features, the estimated release date, possible costs involved, information about how the new release can be obtained, possible training dates, etc.

*Prerequisite(s):* -

*Note(s):* -

**D Training**

*Goal:* Ensure a smooth transition to the new version, enable optimal use of the new version.

*Action:* Trainings are organized and documentation is updated for both internal parties (e.g. service desk, consultants) and external parties (e.g. customers, partner companies) to help educate them in the new release.

*Prerequisite(s):* -

*Note(s):* -

**E Launch impact analysis**

*Goal:* Ensure a smooth transition to the new version (on time, without problems).

*Action:* Determine how much time it is going to take to implement the new release at the individual customers, and what type of experts are needed to perform the implementation (e.g. database experts).
**Sales & marketing support**

**Goal:** Ensure external corporate expressions are correct.

**Action:** Create a checklist of all external expression of the product (e.g. fact sheets, demo’s, presentations) that may need to be updated by changes made in latest release of the product. These items must be checked, and possible updated before they are available to external parties (e.g. customers, partners).

**PRODUCT PLANNING**

Product planning is concentrated around the gathering of information for, and creation of a roadmap for a product or product line, and its core assets.

**Core asset roadmapping**

Core asset roadmapping concerns the planning of future development of core assets (components that are shared by multiple products).

**A Centralized registration**

**Goal:** Enable the reuse of components.

**Action:** All core assets are registered in a standardized manner, and are stored in a central location.

**Prerequisite(s):** -

**Note(s):** -

**B Core asset identification**

**Goal:** Increase and simplify the reuse and maintenance of components.

**Action:** Common components/functionality (core assets) is systematically identified among the organizations products and deliverables surrounding the product.

**Prerequisite(s):** -

**Note(s):** -

**C Make or buy decision**

**Goal:** Cost and time savings by using external parties.

**Action:** A process is in place to actively investigate make-or-buy decisions: external sources are investigated based on ROI in the search for core asset acquisition: partners, outsourcing or subcontracting of development.

**Prerequisite(s):** -

**Note(s):** -

**D Core asset roadmap construction**

**Goal:** Provide insight in the future plans for the core assets to ensure that this is incorporated in the product roadmap in a realistic and optimal form.

**Action:** A roadmap is created for the core assets, this roadmap shows how the core assets are sustained, upgraded, and enhanced. This roadmap contains both existing core assets, and core assets that are in development.

**Prerequisite(s):** Core asset registration A

**Note(s):** -
Roadmap intelligence

Roadmap intelligence gathers decision supporting information needed in the creation of the product roadmap and presents it in summary style suited for management information. It does not include the requirements gathered in Requirements management.

A Product analysis
Goal: Show how your product responds to / fits the trends, how you will take advantage of the momentum.
Action: A plan is created showing which markets you will be going after and how you plan to develop the products for each segment. E.g., in year one you may plan to enter the automotive market by partnering with another company, or you may want to enter the pharmaceutical market in year two by building products in-house or acquiring products.
Prerequisite(s): -
Reference(s): -
Notes: -

B Society trends
Goal: Show how your product responds to / fits the trends, how you will take advantage of the momentum.
Action: An overview is created showing the big picture of important trends in society in the coming years. This picture contains a general view and a view specific for your products industry.
Prerequisite(s): -
Reference(s): -
Notes: One way to identify the important topics for the society roadmap is to perform a PEST analysis and then show the development regarding these topics.

C Technology trends
Goal: Making sure and being able to show how your product is staying up-to-date and is taking advantage of opportunities provided by current and up-and-coming technologies.
Action: An overview is created showing the big picture of important developments in terms of technology in the coming years. This picture contains a general view and a view specific for your products industry.
Prerequisite(s): -
Reference(s): -
Notes: Following reports of research firms such as Gartner and Forrester can be a very useful sources of information for trends.

D Competition trends
Goal: Making sure and being able to show how your product is staying up-to-date and is taking advantage of opportunities provided by your partners.
Action: An overview is created showing what competing products are doing in terms of their product development in the coming years. The general developments trends among your competitors are shown, and the developments of the most important competing products are depicted with special attention.
Prerequisite(s): -
Reference(s): Roadmap intelligence A
Notes: Porters Five forces model can be used to determine the different types of competitors

E Partner roadmap
Goal: Show how your organization responds to developments of partner products and which your own products rely.
Action: An overview is created showing what your partners will be developing in the coming period. Examples of partner products are operating systems, development environments, database, etc. The overview shows what will be happening with the core platform software as well as what the partner organization will be delivering in terms of their own products and development tools that your organization can or will need to use to support the partner products/components.

Prerequisite(s): -  
Reference(s): -  
Note(s): -  

Product roadmapping

Product roadmapping deals with the actual creation of the product roadmap itself.

A Short-term roadmap
Goal: Development of a short-term vision of the product(s).
Action: A roadmap is developed detailing the short-term plans. The plans span more than one release.
Prerequisite(s): -  
Reference(s): -  
Note(s): Internal roadmaps can be used for defining development priorities, communicating to upper management and other departments and for use in obtaining funding for the company.

B Theme identification
Goal: Structuring of releases and roadmaps: themes are used to give a clear direction to the roadmap and later on to structure the requirements.
Action: Release themes are identified and maintained. Themes are decided on together with the internal stakeholders. Identification of the themes results in a list of release themes that are stored centrally, so that requirements, core assets, market trends etc. can be linked to it.
Prerequisite(s): -  

C Internal consultation
Goal: Organization-wide acceptance of the product roadmap. Optimal use of all knowledge in the organization to create more rich and realistic product roadmaps
Action: Product roadmaps are created in consultation with all relevant internal stakeholders.
Prerequisite(s): -  

D Long-term roadmap
Goal: Development of a long-term vision of the product(s).
Action: The roadmap spans a time period of at least four years.
Prerequisite(s): Product roadmapping A

E External variants
Goal: Informing of customers/managing customers expectations, marketing tool. Informing external parties using information they want.
Action: Less detailed variants of the internal roadmap are created for specific external parties (e.g. customers, partners, investors).
Prerequisite(s): Product roadmapping A
Reference(s): -  
Note(s): External roadmaps are used for communicating to customers, partners, press and analysts. External roadmaps are based on the corresponding internal roadmaps, but should be less detailed. An external roadmap should be fine-tuned for the specific party it is intended for.
PORTFOLIO MANAGEMENT

Portfolio management concerns the strategic information gathering and decision making across the entire product portfolio.

Market analysis

*Market analysis gathers decision supporting information about the market needed to make decisions about the product portfolio of an organization.*

A Market trend identification

**Goal:** Widen your product base.

**Action:** There is an active search for market opportunities to either expand existing products to, or create new products for. This search exists of doing market research in markets related to or similar to your organizations markets, visiting conferences, listening to customers, etc. All search findings are documented.

**Prerequisite(s):** -

**Reference(s):** -

**Note(s):** -

B Market strategy

**Goal:** Plan which markets you will target and how you will enter them.

**Action:** A plan is created showing which markets your product will be going after and how you plan to develop the products for each segment. Eg., in year one you may want to enter healthcare by partnering with another company. Or you may want to enter the financial market in year two by building products in-house or acquiring products.

**Prerequisite(s):** -

**Reference(s):** -

**Note(s):** -

C Customer win/loss analysis

**Goal:** Learn about your customers/prospects, to generate more future customers by tuning product development to them.

**Action:** A win/loss analysis is performed to research why customers chose or did not choose to buy your organizations products. This capability looks further than just the product features, e.g. the sales process is reviewed.

**Prerequisite(s):** -

**Reference(s):** -

**Note(s):** -

D Competitor analysis

**Goal:** Learn from competitors and do not fall behind product-wise.

**Action:** A competitor analysis is performed on an organizational level to analyze what competitors offer, what their strengths are and are going to offer compared to your organizations.

**Prerequisite(s):** -

**Reference(s):** -

**Note(s):** A SWOT analysis is a very useful way to map your own strengths/weaknesses and the opportunities and threats that follow from them against your competitors.
E  **Custom market trend identification**

*Goal:* Gain unique information (that your competition does not have) specific to your own organization. Gain an unbiased insight into your market and/or operations.

*Action:* External market research parties are used to perform a market analysis specifically for the organizations product portfolio.

*Prerequisite(s):* -

*Reference(s):* -

*Note(s):* -

**Partnering & contracting**

Partnering & contracting focuses on establishing partnerships, pricing, and distribution aspects in which the product manager is involved.

A  **Service level agreements**

*Goal:* Manage customer expectations.

*Action:* (Standard) service level agreements (SLA’s) are set up for customers.

*Prerequisite(s):* -


*Note(s):* -

B  **Intellectual property management**

*Goal:* Protection of the organizations intellectual property, and prevention of problems due to misuse of the intellectual property of other organizations.

*Action:* Measures are in place to protect the intellectual property of the own organization, and to manage the used intellectual property from other organizations.

*Prerequisite(s):* -

*Reference(s):* -

*Note(s):* -

C  **Investigate distribution channels**

*Goal:* Improve sales process.

*Action:* A process is in place to periodically verify the current distribution channels, and identify alternative distribution channels.

*Prerequisite(s):* -

*Reference(s):* -

*Note(s):* -

D  **Establish and evaluate pricing model**

*Goal:* Improve sales process.

*Action:* A process is in place to establish the pricing model and periodically verify whether it still fits the market.

*Prerequisite(s):* -

*Reference(s):* -

*Note(s):* -

E  **Monitored partner network**

*Goal:* Set up partner networks to gain synergetic advantages.

*Action:* A partner network and/or partner portals are used to regulate partnering. Key performance indicators are set up to monitor the performance of partners on a regular basis.

*Prerequisite(s):* -

*Reference(s):* -

*Note(s):* -
Product lifecycle management

Product lifecycle management concerns the information gathering and key decision making about product life and major product changes across the entire product portfolio.

A. Product life cycle analysis
   Goal: Ensure that there is a healthy balance between new and old products in the product portfolio, create awareness of the products life expectations.
   Action: The current life phase is determined, at least once per year, for each product in the organizations portfolio. This analysis is based on both financial and technical aspects. Information is thus gathered from all relevant internal stakeholders (e.g. company board, sales, development).
   Prerequisite(s): -
   Reference(s): -
   Note(s): The Boston Consultancy Group Matrix is an easy tool to create an overview of your products life phase(s). And your financial risks.

B. Portfolio innovation
   Goal: Balance the products in the product portfolio to make sure that products do not become competitors.
   Action: A decision process is in place to decide whether or not to incorporate trends in one of the current products or in newly to be developed products.
   Prerequisite(s): -
   Reference(s): -
   Note(s): -

C. Portfolio scope analysis
   Goal: Balance products in the portfolio and identify opportunities for reuse (overlap) and discover possible new market segments (gaps).
   Action: A product scope analysis is performed to identify overlaps and gaps between the products in the organizations product portfolio.
   Prerequisite(s): -
   Reference(s): -
   Note(s): -

D. Business case
   Goal: Validation of major future plans before they are put into practice.
   Action: A business case is performed for major product revisions (revisions spanning multiple release) or when the product strategy is changed. We use Kittlaus & Clough (2009) definition in which a business case is the “comparison of the costs associated with the product or project to the quantified economic benefits or value to be derived”.
   Prerequisite(s): -
   Reference(s): Kittlaus & Clough (2009) [26]
   Note(s): -

E. Product lines
   Goal: Enable maximum reuse of resources and simplify the creation of new products.
   Action: Product lines are developed. The architecture of the product line is documented, and its goal is clearly defined. A software product line is defined as a set of software intensive systems sharing a common, managed set of features that satisfy the specific needs of a particular market segment or mission and that are developed from a common set of core assets in a prescribed way (Clements & Northrop, 2002).
   Prerequisite(s): -
   Reference(s): Clements & Northrop (2002) [27]
   Note(s): -
5 Conclusion and future research

5.1 Conclusion

We believe the Software Product Management Competence Model and the Software Product Management Maturity Matrix presented in this paper to be a solid basis for Software Process Improvement. Our iterative process of improvements and validations in both the field of practice and the scientific community makes this a broadly accepted model.

The organizations which participated in our research all indicated that they have a great need for a model which can be applied in practice at relatively low costs to improve their SPM processes. This paper provides in this need by presenting a model with descriptions for all of the most important SPM practices in SPM organizations. Our case study organizations found the SPM Competence Model and SPM Maturity Matrix presented here very useful models to structure and improve their SPM processes.

5.2 Future research

The models presented in this paper form a base for the assessment method presented in [8]. Our experiences during the case studies made clear that the SPM organizations both need and want such an assessment method. We therefore intend to expand the SAM to a quality instrument with which organizations can periodically evaluate their improvements and set new goals to further improve their maturity.

Further research into the effects of Situational Factors [20] on the capabilities is also very useful. Not all capabilities are relevant to every type of organization. It is therefore important to map which capabilities are relevant to the different types of organizations.

The field of SPM is closely related to development, project management, marketing, and sales. It can be hard to define where SPM ends where another area starts. In many cases, there is cooperation between the product managers performing the SPM activities, and the managers performing the related tasks. We incorporated all activities in which the product managers have a substantial participation. Further research to define the responsibilities in the grey areas would be useful.

The model presented in this paper was developed based on Dutch experts from product software organizations and the scientific community. It might therefore not be fully applicable to organizations outside of the Netherlands. Further international validations must therefore be performed to check the general applicability.
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