

# A Technical Overview of **Generic HASKELL**

Jan de Wit

January 16, 2002

# Overview of talk

- ▶ Introduction
- ▶ Example
- ▶ Defining type-indexed values
- ▶ Kind-indexed types
- ▶ Type-indexed values
- ▶ The glue
- ▶ Conclusion

# Introduction

- ▶ **Generic HASKELL** is a superset of Haskell designed for generic programming.
- ▶ Ideas pioneered by Ralf Hinze.
- ▶ First implementation as a source to source compiler by Jan de Wit and Andres Loeh.

# What is generic programming?

- ▶ Many programs work in essentially the same way, but on different data types.
- ▶ But still the code has to be written for each data type separately.
- ▶ Examples:
  - Summing or collecting all values in a data structure.
  - Printing and parsing values.
  - Systematically changing all values in a data structure (mapping).
- ▶ The approach to generic programming we take works by *induction on the structure of types*.

# Example: equality

- ▶ Checking whether two values of the same data type are equal is easy.
- ▶ Just use deriving Eq and ==.
- ▶ That doesn't always work. (higher-order datatypes)

# Example: equality

- ▶ Checking whether two values of the same data type are equal is easy.
- ▶ Just use deriving Eq and ==.
- ▶ That doesn't always work. (higher-order datatypes)
- ▶ We can follow a cookbook recipe:
  - Check whether the two values are in the same alternative:
  - If not, they are not equal.
  - Otherwise, they are only equal if all components are equal.
- ▶ The last item needs to be checked by using appropriate equality functions.

# Example: equality

- ▶ For this data type:

```
data Tree = Leaf Int
          | Node Tree Int Tree
```

- ▶ the equality function looks like:

```
eqTree :: Tree ->      Tree ->      Bool
eqTree (Leaf _ )      (Node _ _ _ ) = False
eqTree (Node _ _ _ ) (Leaf _ )     = False
eqTree (Leaf n1)      (Leaf n2)     = n1 == n2
eqTree (Node l1 n1 r1) (Node l2 n2 r2) = eqTree l1 l2 &&
                                          (n1 == n2) &&
                                          eqTree r1 r2
```

# Example: equality

- ▶ If we abstract out the integers in the tree:

```
data Tree a = Leaf a
            | Node (Tree a) a (Tree a)
```

- ▶ Then we need to supply a function telling us how to compare values of type a. (like the `..By` functions)
- ▶ The equality function now becomes:

```
eqTree eqA (Leaf a1)      (Leaf a2)
    = eqA a1 a2
eqTree eqA (Node l1 a1 r1) (Node l2 a2 r2)
    = eqTree eqA l1 l2 &&
      eqA a1 a2 &&
      eqTree eqA r1 r2
eqTree _ _ = False
```

- ▶ By modifying the recursive use of `eqA` we can deal with non-regular datatypes.



# Defining type-indexed values

- ▶ How can we define equality in general?
- ▶ We need to know how to handle
  - Different alternatives: disjoint sums.
  - Components in a constructor: tuples.
  - Constructors and field labels.
  - Primitive types.
  - Function space constructors.
- ▶ We must be able to rewrite every data type using the above constructs.
- ▶ The transformations are straightforward to do by hand. If you want to have a compiler perform them in a structured way, on the other hand...

# Defining type-indexed values

- ▶ Disjoint sums:

```
data Sum a b = Inl a | Inr b
```

- ▶ Like the Either type.
- ▶ The code:

```
eq {| :+: |} :: (a -> a -> Bool) -> (b -> b -> Bool) ->
              (Sum a b -> Sum a b -> Bool)
eq {| :+: |} eqA eqB (Inl a1) (Inl a2) = eqA a1 a2
eq {| :+: |} eqA eqB (Inl a1) (Inr b2) = False
eq {| :+: |} eqA eqB (Inr b1) (Inl a2) = False
eq {| :+: |} eqA eqB (Inr b1) (Inr b2) = eqB b1 b2
```

# Defining type-indexed values

- ▶ Products (tuples):

```
data Prod a b = a :+: b
```

- ▶ Special case for 0-tuples:

```
data Unit = Unit
```

- ▶ The code:

```
eq {| Unit |} Unit Unit = True
eq {| :+: |} eqA eqB (a1 :+: b1) (a2 :+: b2) =
  eqA a1 a2 && eqB b1 b2
```

# Defining type-indexed values

## ► Constructors:

```
data Con a = Con ConDescr a
data ConDescr = ConDescr
  { conName :: String
  , ...
  }
```

ConDescr contains information about the constructor, such as:

- Its name,
- Its number of arguments,
- Whether it has field labels,
- ...

# Defining type-indexed values

## ► Constructors:

```
data Con a = Con ConDescr a
data ConDescr = ConDescr
  { conName :: String
  , ...
  }
```

ConDescr contains information about the constructor, such as:

- Its name,
- Its number of arguments,
- Whether it has field labels,
- ...

## ► Equality does not need this information:

```
eq { | Con c | } eqA (Con _ a1) (Con _ a2) = eqA a1 a2
```

## ► The same approach works for field labels.

# Defining type-indexed values

► Primitive types:

```
eq {| Int |} = (==)
eq {| Char |} = (==)
eq {| IO |} = error "equality not defined for IO types!"
```

# Defining type-indexed values

- ▶ Primitive types:

```
eq {| Int |} = (==)
eq {| Char |} = (==)
eq {| IO |} = error "equality not defined for IO types!"
```

- ▶ You can override definitions:

```
data Set a = Set [a]
setEq :: (a -> a -> Bool) -> (Set a -> Set a -> Bool)
eq {| Set |} = setEq
```

- ▶ Needed here, otherwise Set equality would not have the expected properties.
- ▶ Overriding built-in types is also possible (for efficiency, perhaps):

```
eq {| [] |} eqA xs ys = ...
```

# Structure types

- ▶ Recall that

```
data Tree a = Leaf a
            | Node (Tree a) a (Tree a)
```

- ▶ We now have everything we need to describe the top-level structure of this type.

```
type TreeStructure a =
  Sum (Con a)
      (Con (Prod (Tree a)
                (Prod a (Tree a))))
conLeaf = ConDescr "Leaf" ...
conNode = ConDescr "Node" ...
```

- ▶ Note that this type is not recursive.



# Structure types

- ▶ The equality function for `TreeStructure` is easy to write. It follows the definition of `TreeStructure` *exactly*:

```
eqTreeStructure eqA =  
  eqSum (eqCon conLeaf eqA)  
        (eqCon conNode (eqProd (eqTree eqA)  
                                (eqProd eqA (eqTree eqA))))
```

Only the `Con` (and `Label`) cases have to be given some extra information.

# Structure types in general

## ▶ The datatype

```
data T    a1 ... an = C1 t1 ... tm
                | ...
                | Ck s1 ... sl
```

Has structure type

```
type T__ a1 ... an =
  Sum (Con (Prod t1 (Prod ... tm)))
    (Sum ...
      (Con (Prod s1 (Prod ... sl))))
```

- ▶ A constructor without components corresponds to `Con Unit`
- ▶ If a constructor has field labels every component gets wrapped in `Label`.

# Structure types

► If we suppose that

```
eqTree :: (a -> a -> Bool) -> Tree a -> Tree a -> Bool
```

as required,

# Structure types

- ▶ If we suppose that

```
eqTree :: (a -> a -> Bool) -> Tree a -> Tree a -> Bool
```

as required,

- ▶ then we can infer that

```
eqTreeStructure :: (a -> a -> Bool) ->  
                  TreeStructure a ->  
                  TreeStructure a ->  
                  Bool
```

- ▶ How can these two types be reconciled?

# A first sniff of glue

- ▶ First of all, the data types `Tree` and `TreeStructure` are isomorphic via the pair of functions

```
fromTree :: Tree a -> TreeStructure a
fromTree (Leaf a) = Inl (Con conLeaf a)
fromTree (Node t1 a t2) =
  Inr (Con conNode (t1 :* (a :* t2)))
```

and

```
toTree :: TreeStructure a -> Tree a
toTree (Inl (Con _ a)) = Leaf a
toTree (Inr (Con _ (t1 :* (a :* t2)))) = Node t1 a t2
```

These are trivial to generate.

# A first sniff of glue

- ▶ We can use the isomorphism to make the type of `eqTree` match what we want:

```
eqTree eqA t1 t2 = eqTreeStructure eqA (fromTree t1)
                                     (fromTree t2)
```

- ▶ This works for every combination of a data type and its structure type.
- ▶ For types other than `Tree`, we might have to change the number of parameters.

# A first sniff of glue

- ▶ We can use the isomorphism to make the type of `eqTree` match what we want:

```
eqTree eqA t1 t2 = eqTreeStructure eqA (fromTree t1)
                                     (fromTree t2)
```

- ▶ This works for every combination of a data type and its structure type.
- ▶ For types other than `Tree`, we might have to change the number of parameters.
- ▶ This scheme has to be modified if `Tree` appears on the right-hand side of the type, or inside a type constructor.
- ▶ More on this later.

# Kind-indexed types

- ▶ The equality function has a type that varies along with the data type it operates on.
- ▶ For types without type arguments:

```
eqT :: T -> T -> Bool
```

- ▶ For types with one simple type argument:

```
eqT :: (a -> a -> Bool) ->  
      (T a -> T a -> Bool)
```

- ▶ For types with two simple type arguments:

```
eqT :: (a -> a -> Bool) ->  
      (b -> b -> Bool) ->  
      (T a b -> T a b -> Bool)
```

- ▶ And so on...



# Kind-indexed types

- ▶ For higher-order types, the type of `eq` gets more complicated:

```
data IntsChars ff = Ints (ff Int) | Chars (ff Char)
eqIntsChars eqFF (Ints ff1) (Ints ff2) = eqFF ff1 ff2
eqIntsChars eqFF (Chars ff1) (Chars ff2) = eqFF ff1 ff2
eqIntsChars eqFF _ _ = False
```

- ▶ To make this work we need the following type for `eqIntsChars`:

```
(forall a. ff a -> ff a -> Bool) ->
  IntsChars ff -> IntsChars ff -> Bool
```

- ▶ We also need to tell the first equality function how to compare values of type `a`, so the final type becomes:

```
(forall a. (a -> a -> Bool) -> ff a -> ff a -> Bool) ->
  IntsChars ff -> IntsChars ff -> Bool
```

# Kind-indexed types

- ▶ For types with one type constructor argument, i.e.  $\text{kind } (* \rightarrow *) \rightarrow *$ :

```
eqT :: (forall a. (a -> a -> Bool) ->
          (ff a -> ff a -> Bool)) ->
      (T ff -> T ff -> Bool)
```

- ▶ The way to write the general pattern is:

```
type Eq {[ * ]} t = t -> t -> Bool

type Eq {[ k -> l ]} t = forall u.
  Eq {[ k ]} u -> Eq {[ l ]} (t u)
```

The type of eq becomes:

```
eq {| t :: k |} :: Eq {[ k ]} t
```

# Kind-indexed types

- ▶ The general form of a kind-indexed type is:

```
type Poly {[*]} t1...tn a1...am = ...
type Poly {[k -> l]} t1...tn a1...am = forall u1...un .
  Poly {[k]} u1 ... un a1...am ->
  Poly {[l]} (t1 u1)...(tn un) a1...am
```

- ▶ Poly has  $n$  varying type arguments, and  $m$  constant ones. In the equality example,  $n$  is 1 and  $m$  is 0.
- ▶ Poly {[k]} is a type constructor and its kind is:

```
Poly {[k]} :: k -> k -> ... ->
            k1 -> ... -> km ->
            *
```

# Translating kind-indexed types

- ▶ This is a straightforward expanding process. The resulting type is simplified as much as possible.
- ▶ Remove unnecessary quantifications.

$$(\text{forall } x. t) = t$$

if  $x$  doesn't appear in  $t$ .

- ▶ Move up quantifications on the right-hand side of a function arrow.

$$t \rightarrow (\text{forall } x. s) = (\text{forall } x. t \rightarrow s)$$

(avoid capture of  $x$  by renaming)

- ▶ Suppress quantifications at top level.

$$(\text{forall } x. t) = t$$

- ▶ However in the presence of higher-order kinds these steps do not guarantee a type that is acceptable to Hugs or GHC.

# Type-indexed values

- ▶ In general, the definition of a type-indexed type looks like:

```
poly { | t :: k | } :: Poly { [ k ] } t ...  
poly { | Unit | } = ...  
poly { | :+: | } polyA polyB = ...  
poly { | *: | } polyA polyB = ...  
poly { | Con c | } polyA = ...  
poly { | Int | } = ...
```

- ▶ And optionally:

```
poly { | Label l | } polyA = ...  
poly { | (->) | } polyA polyB = ...
```

# Type-indexed values

- ▶ The following code is generated *once* per definition:

```
polyUnit :: Poly {[ * ]} Unit ...  
polyUnit = ...  
polySum :: Poly {[ * -> * -> *]} Sum ...  
polySum polyA polyB = ...
```

- ▶ And for each data type T of kind k we specialise poly:

```
polyT :: Poly {[ k ]} T ...  
polyT__ :: Poly {[ k ]} T__ ...
```

T\_\_ is the structure type of T.

- ▶ Generating polyT\_\_ is straightforward: just follow the structure of T.

# Type-indexed values

- ▶ `polyT` is a wrapper around `polyT__`. The isomorphism between `T` and `T__` can be extended to an isomorphism between `Poly{[k]} T` and `Poly{[k]} T__`.
- ▶ How this is done depends in an essential way on the base case of the kind-indexed type `Poly{[*]} T`.
- ▶ But fortunately, this can be handled by a generic function!
- ▶ We combine the `to` and `from` functions forming the isomorphism into a single data type:

```
data EP a b = EP { from :: a -> b, to :: b -> a }
```

- ▶ So that we have for instance

```
epTree :: EP (Tree a) (Tree__ a)
epTree = EP fromTree toTree
```

# Glue - bimap

- ▶ The generic function we will use to adapt the specialisation has type:

```
type Bimap {[ * ]}      t1 t2 = EP t1 t2
type Bimap {[ k -> l ]} t1 t2 = forall u1 u2.
  Bimap {[ k ]} u1 u2 -> Bimap {[ l ]} (t1 u1) (t2 u2)
bimap {| t :: k |} :: Bimap {[ k ]} t t
```

- ▶ So in particular:

```
bimap {| T :: * -> * |} :: EP a b -> EP (T a) (T b)
bimap {| T :: * -> * -> * |} :: EP a b -> EP c d ->
  EP (T a c) (T b d)
```

- ▶ Most cases in the definition of bimap are easy to write, they correspond to functor actions. Only the function case is interesting:

```
bimap {| (->) |} ~ (EP a2b b2a) ~ (EP c2d d2c) = EP
  { from = \a2c -> c2d . a2c . b2a
  , to   = \b2d -> d2c . b2d . a2b }
```



# Glue - bimap

- ▶ Suppose

```
type Poly { [ * ] } t = t -> Tree t

poly { | t :: k | } :: Poly { [ k ] } t

polyT :: T -> Tree T
polyT__ :: T__ -> Tree T__
```

- ▶ The wrapper around `polyT__` follows the type of the base case.

```
-- basecase t = Fun t (Tree t)
polyT = to (bimapFun epT (bimapTree epT)) (polyT__)
```

# Overview of generated code

- ▶ If we have the following **Generic HASKELL** source file

```
data T a b = ...

type Poly { [ * ] } t = ...
type Poly { [ k -> 1 ] } t = ...

poly { | t :: k | } :: Poly { [ k ] } t
poly { | Unit | } = ...
poly { | :+: | } polyA polyB = ...
```

# Overview of generated code

- ▶ Then the following will be in the generated Haskell file:

```
data T a b = ...
type T__ a b = ...

epT :: EP (T a b) (T__ a b)
bimapT    :: EP a c -> EP b d -> EP (T a b) (T c d)
bimapT__  :: EP a c -> EP b d -> EP (T__ a b) (T__ c d)

polyUnit = ...
polySum polyA polyB = ...

polyT :: Poly {[ k ]} T
polyT a b = ... (polyT__ a b) ...
polyT__ :: Poly {[ k ]} T__
polyT__ a b = ... (polyT a b) ...
```

- ▶ `polyT__` only uses `polyT` if `T` is a recursive type.

# Conclusion

- ▶ The basic idea behind generic programming is easy to grasp.
- ▶ **Generic HASKELL** provides a way to experiment with generic programming.
- ▶ The **Generic HASKELL** compiler takes care of the details which are
  - Not immediately apparent.
  - Potentially confusing.
  - Tedious and error-prone to code by hand.