1 CASE STUDY 1: THE PRODUCTION LINE

1.1 Introduction

In flow line production, the production equipment is laid out in the production sequence. The products usually follow the same route through the system. This type of system is frequently very sensitive to equipment breakdowns, because if one process stops, this stops the entire line. Having stock between each process can reduce this sensitivity. Flow line systems are most useful for medium to long production runs.

1.2 Description of the situation

A factory producing a range of vehicles is considering adding a new high quality trailer to the range. Because a competitor has ceased to trade, it is believed that substantial sales can be expected over the coming years. To meet this anticipated demand, a new assembly line is planned which will be equipped mainly with robots.

The trailer assembly can be divided into five main operations. A single robot carries out each operation. At the first operation (A), the axles and wheels are fitted to the frame. This is followed by mounting the underside onto the frame (B). The vehicle sides are then attached (C) followed by the roof (D). The final assembly operation and quality check (E) is not automated, as customers often have special requirements. See figure 1.1

![Figure 1-1: The production line](image)

All the parts used for the assembly are standard. There are several types of some parts, but these have no effect on the processing speed. Also, there is always an adequate stock of parts to supply the line.

Although the first four processes are fully automated, it has not been possible to synchronize them precisely. Axle to frame assembly (A) takes an average of 15 minutes, as does attaching the underside to the frame (B). Assembling the sides to the frame (C) requires an average of 18 minutes, and the roof assembly (D) is a precision process and only three trailers per hour can be completed. Final assembly and quality check (E) is a manual operation and takes an average of 18 minutes.

As mentioned above, the actual parts used at each stage does not affect the processes. The first four processes follow a uniform distribution with a range of 2 minutes, so a 15 minute process implies a range from 14 to 16 minutes. The processing time for the final operation follows a normal distribution with a standard deviation of 3 minutes.

In the factory, the working day consists of 8 hours and 5 working days form one working week. Production starts every working day where the day before has ended.

The robots are not completely trouble-free. The robot’s supplier guarantees that the robots will get failures only once an hour (negative exponentially distributed and including repair time). Internal checks have kept the average repair time within reasonable bounds, and as a result this time seems to be exponentially distributed with an average of 6 minutes.
The space available for the production line is very limited, and as a result the line can accommodate only five trailers. This means that there is no room for any buffer zones between the steps of the process. As each trailer is finished, it is moved to the storage yard. The management now wish to conclude contract negotiations with potential buyers, leading to long term commitments for quantities to be supplied. However, the capacity for the new line is unknown, and a realistic estimate of this on a weekly basis is required.

1.3 Assignments

1. On the basis of the case study information, try to make an estimate for the capacity per week, and explain how you reach this conclusion.

2. Create a simulation model to determine the weekly production and make an experiment with a warm up period of one week and a measurement period of 10 weeks. Do your results correspond to your estimation? What is the cause of possible differences? (flowshop1.mod)

3. The management wants to compare the following two strategies:

   Strategy 1
   Reduction of the average repair time of the robots to 3 minutes (flowshop2.mod).

   Strategy 2
   Extension of the assembly line by adding 2 buffer zones, each with enough space for one trailer (flowshop3.mod).
   NB: Several choices are possible; try to make the right choice according to logistics principles!
2 SUGGESTIONS FOR MODELLING IN ED

The models can easily be created in Enterprise Dynamics. We advise you to always use the standard atoms for modelling. The robots and worker do not need to be modelled separately (why?).

Experiments are designed by using the Experiment Wizard in the Experimentation menu (for an explanation of the “Experiment Wizard” check the Tutorials section of the Help menu).

The robots’ failure rate and repair times can be modelled with the standard Server atom. This atom enables you to define the average time between failures (MTBF) as well as the duration of the repair (MTTR). For more details, please read Annex 2 of the tutorial.